

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/022,651	12/17/2001	Francis D. Palazzo	4665/6	1856	
56015	7590 11/20/2006		EXAMINER		
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			TRAN, HAI V		
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100			2623		
SHREWSBUI	RY, NJ 07702		DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/022,651	PALAZZO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Hai Tran	2623		

	Hai Tran	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on			indonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff	fidavit, or other evider	ice, which
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No	tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	compliance with 37 Cl	FR 41.31; or (3)
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	ust be filed within one	of the following
time periods:			
a) The period for reply expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	ension and the corresponding amount	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Office	ce action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		te of the illiar rejection, e	sven ii umeiy iileu,
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying f	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will	il be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	ided below or appended.	•	•
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1 and 3-21</i> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will po	t ha antarad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and	I sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	i ne euretea
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and a market of the series of	it of other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief v	will not be
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	ı condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)	,	
13. Other:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		1	
		HAITBA	N-
		- A - Valley	ANAINIED

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office . PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claim1 raises new issue.

35U.S.C.§101: In response to Applicant, the Examiner does not misinterpret the Applicant's claim. As indicated in the previous Office Action, the Examiner clearly indicates that specification (page 3, lines 12-13; page 7, lines 14-15) discloses other forms of propagated signal (i.e., carrier wave, digital signals etc....) that data-structure may be formatted (stored within the communication signal) for broadcasting. Therefore, the specification defines the computer readable medium to be a "signal".

A "signal" embodying functional descriptive material is neither a process ("actions"), machine, manufacture nor composition of matter (i.e., a tangible "thing") and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory categories of § 101. Rather, "signal" is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material.

Because the full scope of the claim as properly read in light of the disclosure encompasses non-statutory subject matter, the claim as a whole is non-statutory. The examiner suggests amending the claim to include the disclosed tangible computer readable media, while at the same time excluding the intangible media such as signals, carrier waves, etc defined in the specification. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER